

Valerie J. Armento, SBN: 78717
 Interim City Attorney
 Rafael E. Alvarado, Jr., SBN: 247904
 Assistant City Attorney
 CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO
 2415 University Avenue
 East Palo Alto, CA 94303
 Telephone: (650) 853-3100
 Facsimile: (650) 853-5923
varmento@cityofepa.org
ralvarado@cityofepa.org

Benjamin P. Fay, SBN: 178856
 Rick W. Jarvis, SBN: 154479
 JARVIS, FAY & DOPORTO, LLP
 475 - 14th Street, Suite 260
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Telephone: (510) 238-1400
 Facsimile: (510) 238-1404
rjarvis@jarvisfay.com
bfay@jarvisfay.com

Attorneys for Defendant
 CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PALO MOBILE ESTATES ASSOCIATES,
 a California limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, a municipal
 corporation; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO: C 07-03601 PJH

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER**

Superior Court Action Filed: June 12, 2007
 Removed to Federal Court: July 12, 2007
 Trial Date: None Set

WHEREAS, at the Case Management Conference on December 6, 2007, the Court stayed this matter at the request of both parties pending the outcome of the concurrent petition for a writ of

1 mandate in the San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. CIV 467731, ("State Court Action") and
 2 continued the Case Management Conference to April 17, 2008;

3 WHEREAS, a hearing on the writ in the State Court Action was heard on April 11, 2008, but
 4 because a decision was not issued by the San Mateo County Superior Court this Court continued the
 5 Case Management Conference to June 26, 2008;

6 WHEREAS, on May 28, 2008, the San Mateo County Superior Court issued a tentative
 7 decision that would order the issuance of a preemptory writ of mandate that would direct the City of
 8 East Palo Alto to repeal certain portions of the ordinance that has been challenged in both the State
 9 Court Action and this action;

10 WHEREAS, the next step in the State Court Action will be the issuance of a Statement of
 11 Decision, followed by a Judgment, and then a Writ;

12 WHEREAS, following the service of Notice of Entry of the Judgment in the State Court
 13 Action, either party will have 60 days to file a Notice of Appeal of the judgment.

14 THEREFORE, the Plaintiff PALO MOBILE ESTATES ASSOCIATES and the Defendant
 15 CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO hereby stipulate and respectfully request through their respective
 16 counsel that the stay in this action be continued and that the Case Management Conference currently
 17 scheduled for June 26, 2008 at 2:30 p.m. be continued to October 16, 2008 at 2:30 p.m and that a
 18 further Case Management Conference Statement shall be filed by October 9, 2008.

19 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

20 Dated: June 11, 2008

GILCHRIST & RUTTER

21
 22 By: /s/ Thomas W. Casparian
 23 Thomas W. Casparian
 24 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 PALO MOBILE ESTATES ASSOCIATES

25 Dated: June 11, 2008

JARVIS, FAY & DOPORTO, LLP

26
 27 By: /s/ Benjamin P. Fay
 Benjamin P. Fay
 Attorneys for Defendant
 28 CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Case Management Conference in this case that is currently set for June 26, 2008 at 2:30 p.m. shall be rescheduled to October ²~~16~~, 2008 at 2:30 p.m.

A Case Management Conference Statement shall be filed by ~~October 9~~ September 25, 2008.

DATED: 6/16/08

